

# Buffalo Law Review

---

Volume 44 | Number 3

Article 2

---

10-1-1996

## Editor's Note

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>

---

### Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *Editor's Note*, 44 Buff. L. Rev. ix (1996).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol44/iss3/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact [lawscholar@buffalo.edu](mailto:lawscholar@buffalo.edu).

### *Editor's Note*

THE BUFFALO LAW REVIEW wishes to correct a citation error appearing in our Volume 44-1. In his article, *Searching for the Plain Meaning of the Second Amendment*, 44 BUFF. L. REV. 197 (1996), Kevin Szczepanski cited to an article authored by Dennis Henigan and Keith Ehrman entitled: *The Second Amendment in the Twentieth Century: Have You Seen Your Militia Lately?*, 15 U. DAYTON L. REV. 5 (1989). Although Mr. Szczepanski properly cited to Mr. Henigan and Mr. Ehrman's article on numerous occasions, he incorrectly cited to their article in support of the proposition that the "central purpose" of the Second Amendment is to ensure that individuals citizens would be armed as a deterrent against federal tyranny. Mr. Szczepanski quoted the article as follows "[T]he [S]econd [A]mendment is aimed at ensuring that all private citizens would be armed, and thus able to rise up in revolt against any government action perceived by the masses as 'tyrannical'" *Id.* at 216 n. 114.

Unfortunately, this quotation has been taken out of context. The quoted language describes not the viewpoint of Mr. Henigan and Mr. Ehrman, but rather that of "those who oppose government efforts to regulate firearms . . ." 15 U. DAYTON L. REV. at 24. The next paragraph, and indeed Mr. Henigan and Mr. Ehrman's entire article, is devoted to refuting the thesis expressed in the quoted language. As the authors argue, the purpose of the Second Amendment is to protect the people's right to be armed in service to state-sponsored militias, not to resist the "tyranny" of federal agencies.

