

10-1-1962

## Table of Opinions

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *Table of Opinions*, 12 Buff. L. Rev. 219 (1962).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol12/iss1/60>

This The Court of Appeals Term is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact [lawscholar@buffalo.edu](mailto:lawscholar@buffalo.edu).

COURT OF APPEALS, 1961 TERM

COURT OF APPEALS, 1961 TERM

TABLE A

Dispositions	Unanimous	With dissent	Total
With Full Opinion	41	50	91
By Memorandum*			600
By Per Curiam			18
Total			709

\* Included herein are motions disposed of by memoranda.

TABLE B  
Authors in Full Opinion Cases

	Opinions of Court	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	Total Opinions Written
Burke	8		6	14
Desmond	23	4	9	36
Dye	7		6	13
Foster	8			8
Froessel	16	6	9	31
Fuld	21	4	6	31
Van Voorhis	8	2	7	17
Total	91	16	45	150

TABLE C  
Instances of Concurrence in Full Written Opinions

	Burke*	Desmond*	Dye*	Foster*	Froessel*	Fuld*	Van Voorhis*
Concurring Judges							
Burke		21	5	7	14	22	4
Desmond	9		7	6	13	18	3
Dye	8	26		7	12	16	7
Foster	7	22	5		17	21	9
Froessel	6	19	6	8		16	8
Fuld	7	23	8	6	11		5
Van Voorhis	9	19	9	7	14	15	

\* Total number of opinions written: Burke 14; Desmond 36; Dye 13; Foster 8; Froessel 31; Fuld 31; and Van Voorhis 17.

TABLE D  
Dissents by Individual Judges in Full Opinion Cases\*

Burke	13
Desmond	17
Dye	16
Foster	7
Froessel	18
Fuld	14
Van Voorhis	16

\* Includes (1) dissenting opinion, (2) voting with another's opinion, and (3) voting with no written opinion.