

10-1-1961

## The Court of Appeals, 1960 Term Opinion Tables

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>

Part of the [Courts Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *The Court of Appeals, 1960 Term Opinion Tables*, 11 Buff. L. Rev. 299 (1961).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol11/iss1/122>

This The Court of Appeals Term is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact [lawscholar@buffalo.edu](mailto:lawscholar@buffalo.edu).

COURT OF APPEALS, 1960 TERM

THE COURT OF APPEALS, 1960 TERM  
TABLE A

Dispositions	Unanimous	With Dissent	Total
With Full Opinion	68	70	138
By Memorandum*	488	80	568
Total	556	150	706

\* Included herein are per curiam memoranda and motions disposed of by memoranda.

TABLE B\*  
Opinions Written by Individual Judges

	Opinions of Court	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	Total Opinions Written
Burke	19	2	7	28
Desmond	24	3	17	44
Dye	12	1	4	17
Foster	11	0	1	12
Froessel	21	11	10	42
Fuld	32	3	6	41
Van Voorhis	10	3	9	22
Per Curiam	9	—	—	9
Total	138	23	54	215

\* This table concerns only full opinions of the court.

TABLE C  
Dissents by Individual Judges

	By* Dissent- ing Opinion	By* Voting With Another's Opinion	By* Voting Where no Opinion	By** Dissent- ing Opinion	By** Voting With Another's Opinion	By** Voting Where no Opinion	Total Dissents
Burke	7	8	4	1	4	10	34
Desmond	17	4	4	2	0	14	41
Dye	4	8	4	1	0	7	24
Foster	1	6	2	1	0	3	13
Froessel	10	4	4	2	3	12	35
Fuld	6	9	6	1	2	12	36
Van Voorhis	9	7	11	4	6	10	47
Total	54	46	35	12	15	68	230

\* In disposition with full opinion.

\*\* In disposition by memorandum.

*BUFFALO LAW REVIEW*

TABLE D  
Instances of Concurrence  
WRITING OPINION\*

		Burke	Desmond	Dye	Foster	Froessel	Fuld	Van Voorhis
CONCURRING	Burke		19	8	6	19	24	8
	Desmond	13	1**	10	7	14	26	6
	Dye	18	22	1	8	19	22	7
	Foster	17	19	9		19	30	9
	Froessel	19	15	9	9	4	20	9
	Fuld	15	16	8	9	16	4	7
	Van Voorhis	13	13	10	11	17	23	1

WRITING DISSENTING OPINION

		Burke	Desmond	Dye	Foster	Froessel	Fuld	Van Voorhis
CONCURRING IN DISSENT	Burke	1	6	1		4	1	2
	Desmond	3	6	2			2	2
	Dye		5	3		3	1	2
	Foster	1	1		1	3		3
	Froessel	2				3		3
	Fuld	3	3	1			5	3
	Van Voorhis		3	1		8	4	5

\* Includes all opinions other than dissents.

\*\* Indicates lone concurring opinion.