

4-1-1958

Editor's Note

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>

Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *Editor's Note*, 7 Buff. L. Rev. 349 (1958).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol7/iss3/2>

This Editorial Note is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact law scholar@buffalo.edu.

EDITOR'S NOTE

When it comes to scholarly pursuit in the area of jurisprudence, most of us must turn for enlightenment to those who have the ability and inclination to make the exhaustive study and do the penetrating thinking that the subject demands. Perhaps the most notable such person on the contemporary American scene is Jerome Hall, Distinguished Service Professor of Law at Indiana University. For our first article of this issue, we are fortunate to be able to offer Professor Hall's most recent formulation of his jurisprudential thinking, his concept of integrative jurisprudence, which postulates a synthesis of legal realism and natural law philosophy. Professor Hall has devoted the greater part of a lifetime to legal scholarship, commencing with research fellowships and doctorates from both Columbia and Harvard, and recently highlighted by a Fulbright Lectureship in Great Britain and service as a State Department Educational Specialist in the Far East and India under the exchange program. His work has won him a worldwide reputation, and we deem it a great privilege to be able to publish his article which was presented at our school as the 1958 James McCormick Mitchell Lectures. His thesis clearly constitutes an important advance in jurisprudential thinking and merits the reader's most careful attention.

Our second article of this issue focuses attention on one of the thorniest legal problems of current times, namely, to what extent and by what standards should the courts intervene in internal union disputes? Our author, Professor Clyde W. Summers of Yale Law School, formerly of our faculty, is widely recognized as a leading authority in the area of labor law. He is well known for his extensive writings in the field as well as his editorship of various labor periodicals in recent years. In his article, Professor Summers brings to bear sharp analysis of New York cases to reveal the waverings of the courts upon the question of intervention and their proclivity to apply often hidden, sometimes subjective, standards when they do intervene. Dr. Summers has that rare, enviable ability to combine profound analysis and commentary with true literary grace which makes his article at once highly readable as well as instructive.

Having reached the time when we must relinquish the blue pencil, we find ourselves engulfed in mixed emotions. Biased though it may be, we take unabashed pride in our volume. At the same time, we cannot quite overlook our shortcomings. We will probably always be bewitched by more than a few doubts as to the expertness of our editorial surgery. On the other side of the coin, it will always be a pleasure to recall the spirit of good humor and comradeship that prevailed in the editorial room. Perhaps most of all, we are overcome by a sense of deep gratitude: to a highly capable, conscientious, cooperative editorial board; to a hard working senior staff; to our erstwhile candidates, soon to be our successors, who will no doubt profit by our mistakes; to our faculty advisor, Professor Kochery, who though never meddling was ever present with help for our problems, big and little; to Dean Hyman, whose attentive concern for our welfare

EDITOR'S NOTE

never revealed any sign of strain, even in the face of such things as midnight requisitions of furniture. To these and all our friends and counsellors, we humbly say thanks.

In passing we turn our attention to our successors. You have merited our trust and confidence. We wish you well, and we look forward to your volume with expectation of great accomplishment.