

4-1-1957

Editor's Note

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>

Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *Editor's Note*, 6 Buff. L. Rev. 249 (1957).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol6/iss3/2>

This Editorial Note is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact lawscholar@buffalo.edu.

EDITOR'S NOTE

Our leading article for this issue is written by Assistant Professor Saul Touster, who, our readers will remember, reviewed the second edition of SCOTT ON TRUSTS for our first issue of the year. Mr. Touster, a graduate of Harvard Law School in 1948, practised for several years in New York City prior to his decision to teach. This decision was dubiously rewarded by his being named one of the faculty advisers for the Review. We are pleased that, notwithstanding this experience, he consented to write an article for the Review and herewith we print Part I thereof. The concluding part will be published in the first issue of Volume 7.

It is with pleasure that the Review presents the Comment on *Putnam v. Comm'r* by Ernest J. Brown, Professor of Law at Harvard, formerly such and Assistant Dean of the University of Buffalo School of Law. Professor Brown also practised law for many years in the Buffalo area and is the author of a casebook on Constitutional Law. We feel sure that Professor Brown's many local friends—as well as our other readers—will be pleased with his initial contribution to the Review.

It has become customary in this space to make a review of our activities of the year, and we take this opportunity to so do. The Review has enjoyed a good year in more ways than one. Financially, we find our revenue increasing, due to the efforts of our Business Manager; this was promptly expended in lengthening the Review and in acquiring some much-needed equipment such as typewriters and cardex holders. Our leading articles have been well received, and we are grateful to these contributors who have done so much towards the success we have enjoyed. Several senior notes have evoked oral comments by several members of the local bar (especially when we criticised a decision which had been won by them), and some notes have been assigned reading for class-work.

The Board for next year has been chosen and they are at work laying their plans for Volume 7. We take pride in realizing that they are well-trained (by Vincent P. Furlong) for their tasks and are represented by notes in both the second and third issues. Gone are our memories of our battles with them over their notes, and we look forward to receiving next year's issues, knowing we leave the Review in capable hands.

Rendering unto Caesar what is rightfully his is a pleasant task for us. We pause to express our gratitude to those who have made our task possible by their co-operation. Our faculty adviser, Mr. David R. Kochery, has spurred us on gently but firmly while consuming coffee in the Review office. Our problems he has solved and our triumphs he has cheered, and we appreciate all his efforts in our behalf. To the seniors who have written so much, so many times, and worked so hard, we say "Thank You"; the Editor realizes that it is to his credit when the

EDITOR' NOTE

Review comes out on time, but acknowledges that it could never be done without the help and co-operation he has received this year. The amount of student work in these issues is astonishing—over fifty percent—and it is all well done, and done on time. Our advertisers, bless 'em, have made our expanded publication possible, and we urge our readers to patronize them. Our printer deserves a special note of thanks; not all copy was pristine, and errors of ours were caught while reading proof. These errors he has changed without grumble, and he has provided us with an extremely workman-like job of printing and binding.

The Review, as any past editor knows, is a trying but rewarding experience—at once a task and joy. From the first clip of the first case to the last sealing of the last envelope we loved it, and we say to those who come after us: Treat it well and you will be rewarded.