

10-1-1954

The Court of Appeals, 1953 Term Opinion Tables

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *The Court of Appeals, 1953 Term Opinion Tables*, 4 Buff. L. Rev. 138 (1954).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol4/iss1/80>

This The Court of Appeals Term is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact lawscholar@buffalo.edu.

BUFFALO LAW REVIEW

TABLE A*
Opinions Written by Individual Judges

	<i>Opinions of Court</i>	<i>Concur- ring Opinions</i>	<i>Dissent- ing Opinions</i>	<i>Total Opinions Written</i>
Conway	18	0	5	23
Desmond	21	1	9	31
Dye	8	1	5	14
Froessel	13	3	4	20
Fuld	16	1	9	26
Lewis	13	0	5	18
Van Voorhis	12	1	10	23
<i>Per Curiam</i>	6			6
TOTAL	107	7	47	161

* This table concerns only full opinions of the Court.

TABLE B*
Manner of Disposition of Cases

<i>Dispositions</i>	<i>Unanimous</i>	<i>With Dissent</i>	<i>Total</i>
With Full Opinion ..	53 (49%)	54 (51%)	107
By Memorandum ...	239 (91%)	24 (9%)	263
TOTAL	292 (78%)	78 (22%)	370

* This table concerns only dispositions with full opinion and disposition on the merits by memorandum.

TABLE C
Dissents of Individual Judges

	<i>By* Dissent- ing Opinions</i>	<i>By* Voting with Another's Opinion</i>	<i>By* Voting Where No Opinion</i>	<i>From** Memo- randum Opinions</i>	<i>Total Dissents</i>
Conway	5	7	2	4	18
Desmond	9	6	3	5	23
Dye	5	5	3	4	17
Froessel	4	12	1	2	19
Fuld	9	7	5	8	29
Lewis	5	8	1	2	16
Van Voorhis ..	10	8	3	13	34
TOTAL	47	53	18	38	156

* In dispositions with full opinion.

** In dispositions on the merits by memorandum.