

12-1-1952

The Court of Appeals, 1951 Term Opinion Tables

Buffalo Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Buffalo Law Review, *The Court of Appeals, 1951 Term Opinion Tables*, 2 Buff. L. Rev. 132 (1952).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.buffalo.edu/buffalolawreview/vol2/iss1/48>

This The Court of Appeals Term is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Buffalo Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ University at Buffalo School of Law. For more information, please contact lawscholar@buffalo.edu.

BUFFALO LAW REVIEW

X. TABLE A*

Action of Individual Judges

	Opinions Written			Dissenting Votes			
	Opinions of Court	Concurring Opinions	Dissenting Opinions	TOTAL OPINIONS WRITTEN	With Opinion	By Memorandum	TOTAL DISSENTING VOTES
Conway	12	0	4	16	8	0	8
Desmond	10	4	6	20	7	4	11
Dye	4	1	5	10	5	1	6
Froessel	17	1	1	19	6	0	6
Fuld	11	1	11	23	5	6	11
Lewis	13	0	2	15	3	1	4
Loughran	11	0	0	11	6	2	8
<i>Per Curiam</i>	15			15			
TOTAL	93	7	29	129	40	14	54

* This Table concerns only full opinions of the Court.

TABLE B*

Manner of Disposition of Cases

Dispositions	Unanimous	With Dissent	TOTAL
With Full opinion	57 (61%)	36 (39%)	93
By Memorandum	252 (90%)	28 (10%)	280
Total Dispositions	309 (83%)	64 (17%)	373

* This Table concerns only dispositions with full opinion and dispositions on the merits by memorandum.

TABLE C

Dissents from Memorandum Opinions on the Merits

Conway	9	Froessel	6
Desmond	12	Fuld	11
Dye	4	Lewis	4
	Loughran	4	